Natural frequency calculations with JuliaFEM
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Summary. This article presents a natural frequency analysis performed with JuliaFEM - an open-source finite element method program. The results are compared with the analysis results produced with a commercial software. The comparison shows that the calculation results between the two programs do not differ significantly.
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Introduction

It is reasonable to survey free, fast and easy-to-use alternatives for FEM calculations [9]. JuliaFEM [3] is an open-source [2] finite element solver written in the Julia programming language [1] that offers a free alternative for commercial FEM programs. The JuliaFEM software library is a framework that allows for the distributed processing of large finite element models across clusters of computers using simple programming models.

This example demonstrates a JuliaFEM natural frequency [4, 6, 7] calculation for an example model.

The example model and results

The example model is a bracket that is attached from its bolt holes to two adapter plates via tie contacts [8]. The plates are constrained from one side as fixed. Figure 1 shows the model and the locations of the contacts and constraints. The material is linear and elastic in this calculation. The material parameters are $E_1 = 208 \text{ GPa}$, $v_1 = 0.3$ and $\rho_1 = 7800 \text{ kg/m}^3$ for the bracket and $E_2 = 165 \text{ GPa}$, $v_2 = 0.275$ and $\rho_2 = 7100 \text{ kg/m}^3$ for the adapter plates.

The calculated natural frequencies [5] in the first six eigenmodes are presented in Table 1 in two decimal places. Also the error when comparing the JuliaFEM results to the commercial software results is presented in Table 1. Figure 2 shows a picture of the first six eigenmodes of the bracket. The source code for the simulation is shown in listing 1.

1Corresponding author. tero.frondelius@wartsila.com
Table 1: Natural frequencies of the modes 1-6 calculated with JuliaFEM and compared to the results of commercial software. The average of the absolute error is $\Delta \bar{f} = 0.082$, and the average relative error is $\Delta f / \bar{f} = 0.026 \%$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>$f$ [Hz] JuliaFEM</th>
<th>$f$ [Hz] Commercial software</th>
<th>$\Delta f$</th>
<th>$\Delta f / f$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>111.38</td>
<td>111.36</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.021 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>155.03</td>
<td>154.98</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.032 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>215.40</td>
<td>215.34</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.027 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>358.76</td>
<td>358.67</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.025 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>409.65</td>
<td>409.61</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.011 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>603.51</td>
<td>603.29</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.037 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: The model and the locations of the contacts and constraints.

Figure 2: The first six Eigen modes of the Bracket.
using JuliaFEM
using JuliaFEM::Preprocess
using JuliaFEM::Postprocess
using JuliaFEM::Abaqus::create_surface_elements

# read mesh
mesh = abaqus_read_mesh("LDU_ld_r2.inp")
info("element sets = ", collect(keys(mesh.element_sets)))
info("surface sets = ", collect(keys(mesh.surface_sets)))

# create field problem with two different materials
bracket = Problem(Elasticity, "LDU_Bracket", 3)
els1 = create_elements(mesh, "LDUBracket")
els2 = create_elements(mesh, "Adapterplate1", "Adapterplate2")
update!(els1, "younes modulus", 208.0E3)
update!(els1, "poissons ratio", 0.30)
update!(els1, "density", 7.80E-9)
update!(els2, "younes modulus", 165.0E3)
update!(els2, "poissons ratio", 0.275)
update!(els2, "density", 7.10E-9)
bracket.elements = [els1; els2]

# create boundary condition from node set
fixed = Problem(Dirichlet, "fixed", 3, "displacement")
fixed_nodes = mesh.node_sets["Face_Constraint_1"]
fixed.elements = [Element(Poi1, [nid]) for nid in fixed_nodes]
update!(fixed.elements, "displacement 1", 0.0)
update!(fixed.elements, "displacement 2", 0.0)
update!(fixed.elements, "displacement 3", 0.0)

""" A helper function to create tie contact. """
function create_interface(mesh::Mesh, slave::String, master::String)
    interface = Problem(Mortar, "tie contact", 3, "displacement")
    interface.properties.dual_basis = false
    slave_elements = create_surface_elements(mesh, slave)
    master_elements = create_surface_elements(mesh, master)
    nslaves = length(slave_elements)
    nmasters = length(master_elements)
    update!(slave_elements, "master elements", master_elements)
    interface.elements = [slave_elements; master_elements]
    return interface
end

# call helper function to create tie contacts
tie1 = create_interface(mesh, "LDUBracketToAdapterplate1", "Adapterplate1ToLDUBracket")
tie2 = create_interface(mesh, "LDUBracketToAdapterplate2", "Adapterplate2ToLDUBracket")

# add field and boundary problems to solver
solver = Solver(Modal, bracket, fixed, tie1, tie2)
# save results to Xdmf data format ready for ParaView visualization
solver.xdmf = Xdmf("results")
# solve 6 smallest eigenvalues
solver.properties.nev = 6
solver.properties.which = :SM
solver()
Conclusion

The calculation results between the two programs do not differ significantly. On the basis of this example, it can be concluded that FEM calculations can be calculated with an open-source code instead of a commercial FEM software.
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